

## A HOLISTIC APPROACH ON THE NEUROLOGICAL BENEFITS OF MUSIC

Lily Jimenez-Dabdoub & Jenn Catterall

Goldsmiths, University of London, London, UK

### SUMMARY

*A holistic perspective on human beings allows health carers to achieve an understanding of all the physiological, psychological and social disturbances of the patient as a whole. Through this article we wish to focus on how music has holistic neurological benefits.*

*Music-therapy interventions can be more accessible and even “self-managed” by the patient’s relatives. They can reinforce social cohesion, family ties and patients’ self-esteem and thus produce a better quality of life. Overall, it is important to consider the benefits that an evolutionary understanding of musical behaviour and a holistic clinical perspective of the role of music may bring for rehabilitation of a wide range of symptoms and conditions.*

**Key words:** music – therapy – neuroscience - holistic approach

\* \* \* \* \*

A holistic perspective on human beings allows health carers to achieve an understanding of all the physiological, psychological and social disturbances of the patient as a whole. Through this article we wish to focus on how music has holistic neurological benefits.

In 2011, Zatorre stated that music allows us also to comprehend brain organization and functioning. Underpinning musical cognitive mechanisms may help provide support to double dissociation study cases.

Language and music involve unique cognitive skills that distinguish human beings from other species. It is not surprising that scientist like Nettle (2000) and Sacks (2007) consider that language and music are what defines us as humans. Sacks (2007) says “we humans are a musical species no less than a linguistic one”. The human need to communicate through expressive aesthetics has prehistoric origins.

In spite of the contrasting opinions of whether or not music evolved due to an adaptation, there is no doubt it is universal across cultures (Cross 2015). Music has been considered as a pre-language that is related to spatial-temporal access and spatial-temporal reasoning. In 2009, Conrad suggested that music evolved even before language did. An example of pre-language can be baby babbling; babies start learning language through simple distinct tonal and inclusive melodic vocalizations. Not surprisingly, Welch (2006) considers that baby vocalizations are precursors of musicality and language intonation skills.

On the other hand, Freeman (2000) concluded that music and dance have shared biological evolutionary traits deep in the brain chemistry, which in a cultural behaviour led to the facilitation of altered states of consciousness. Freeman’s perspective focuses on the social traits that possibly promoted music for social cohesion; this will be explored later. Researchers like Huron (2001) have considered that music evolved to be an important technology for social communication.

Music-based medicine has experienced a paradigm shift, from a rather intuitive approach where the doctor is a ‘treater’, to one that is evidence-based and where the doctor becomes a coach-facilitator.

Advances in neuroimaging have shown in vivo the contribution of music to cognitive, affective and sensorimotor processing and retraining of the injured brain, and there has been a movement from being an ancillary treatment to a core method (Thaut 2014).

Music therapy provides a friendlier “un-stigmatized” therapeutic approach than CBT, “... where mood and anxiety affect participation in rehabilitation programs, we have outlined how improvisation and music-assisted relaxation can assist patients to cope with their current situation and release intense emotions, enabling them to channel their attention and concentration into redeveloping functional skills” (Baker 2006).

Musical intervention has been used for a wide range of symptoms and conditions, including: as an anxiolytic, for memory loss in several domains, on a variety of symptoms and behaviour in Alzheimer’s and related dementias including nutritional intake, for speech rehabilitation in Broca’s aphasia, disorders of consciousness, neuromuscular coordination, emotion regulation, Cerebral palsy, addictions, social cognition and locked-in syndrome (Akanuma 2015, MacDonald 2003, Magee 2015, Miranda 2011, Moore 2013, Rice 2013, Silverman 2014, Tomaino 2013, Wigram 2013). It can also be used as a diagnostic tool, for example with patients with low awareness states (Magee 2007). Rehabilitation of all kinds aims to improve the physical and psychological independence of the patient, as well as their functioning and abilities so that they may engage in daily living activities (Thaut 2014).

The links between the motor system and limbic system provide evidence that body movement leads to pleasure responses. Syncopation, defined as the temporary interruption of a normal series of accented beats

whereby part of the measure usually unaccented receives an accent (Weaver 1939), is a rhythm phenomenon that elicits a powerful psychological response. Certain styles of music which use extensive syncopation to create a feeling of tension and release correlate with an intense emotional experience (Solberg 2014).

Witek and colleagues (2014) developed an index of syncopation composed by 50 tracks against participants' self-reported desire to move, and pleasure experienced. The findings showed an inverted U-shaped relationship between syncopation, body-movement and pleasure, and that syncopation appears an important structural factor in embodied and affective responses to musical groove.

Moving in a synchronised way to the beat presents as more than just an ability, but a compulsion (Witek, 2014). It would appear natural and spontaneous to do so with a systematic degree of accuracy (with the exception of amusia). These movements are of a structured kind: replicating those of the player's (air guitar), (Godøy 2006) or keeping in synch with the music's pulse by e.g. foot tapping (Burger 2013). Even when the listener makes no physical movement, a proactive and sensory-guided process, engaging the cranial neural network occurs -known as beat induction (Desain 1999, McAngus Todd 1999).

The human mirror neuron system (MNS) in conjunction with the limbic system may allow the human brain to comprehend complex patterns of musical signals (Overy 2009). Music making is frequently a social activity, so this discovery has wide implications in the context of social bonding, learning development, and the role of human agency in rhythm perception (Keller 2014). Agency inference was found to require a combination of sensorimotor cues and perceptual cues (Knoblich 2009) – lending some support to the aforementioned internal models of rhythmic action. This leads to the utilization of bodily awareness and rhythm in gait training and other aspects of rehabilitation (Benoit 2014, Kannape 2012).

Considering all this into a clinical perspective, studying music from a reverse engineering process – understanding it as a tool - can lead to better interventions focused on the socio-emotional benefits. They could focus on providing patients, as well as their social environment, with the best tools for their patients' reintegration and to help them improve their self-acceptance. Moreover, taking into account that music intervention has no side effects and low drop-out rates, there is no real loss to try to approach the patient's rehabilitation through a different clinical musical technique (Maratos 2008).

Music-therapy interventions can be more accessible and even “self-managed” by the patient's relatives. They can reinforce social cohesion, family ties and patients' self-esteem and thus produce a better quality of life. Overall, it is important to consider the benefits that an evolutionary understanding of musical behaviour and a holistic clinical perspective of the role of music may bring for rehabilitation of a wide range of symptoms and conditions.

## Acknowledgements:

We specially thank Peter Harrison who kindly invited us to participate in the Bedfordshire Conference and to Dr. Angius for the opportunity to open doors to young enthusiast researchers to talk about Music and Wellbeing.

Psyc. Jiménez-Dabdoub recognizes the support and funding of the postgraduate studies scholarship from the National Council of Science and Technology, Mexico (CONACyT, CVU: 607068) and the Santander-Goldsmiths Latin American Scholarship grant.

**Conflict of interest:** None to declare.

## References

1. Akanuma K et al: *Singing can improve speech function in aphasics associated with intact right basal ganglia and preserved right temporal glucose metabolism: Implications for singing therapy indication. International Journal of Neuroscience* 2015; 0:1-16.
2. Baker F & Tamplin J: *Music Therapy Methods in Neurorehabilitation. A Clinician's Manual. UK: Jessica Kingsley Publishers, 2006.*
3. Benoit C-E, et al: *Musically cued gait-training improves both perceptual and motor timing in Parkinson's disease. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 2014; 8.
4. Burger B et al: *Influences of Rhythm- and Timbre-Related Musical Features on Characteristics of Music-Induced Movement. Frontiers in Psychology* 2013; 4:183.
5. Cross I: *The nature of music and its evolution. In S. Hallam, I. Cross, and M. Thaut (Eds.) Oxford Handbook of Music Psychology (2nd edn). Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2015 (in press).*
6. Desain P and H Honing: *Computational models of beat induction: The rule-based approach. Journal of New Music Research* 1999; 28:29-42.
7. Dundar R: *Gossip in Evolutionary Perspective. Review of General Psychology* 2004; 8:100-110.
8. Freeman W: *A neurobiological role of music in social bonding, in The Origins of Music. eds N. L. Wallin, B. Merker, and S. Brown (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press), 2000; pp 411–424.*
9. Godøy RI et al: *Playing “air instruments”: mimicry of sound-producing gestures by novices and experts. Gesture in Human-Computer Interaction and Simulation, Springer, 2006; 256-267.*
10. Huron D: *Is music an evolutionary adaptation? Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 2001; 930:43-61.
11. Kannape O and Blanke O: *Agency, gait and self-consciousness. International Journal of Psychophysiology* 2012; 83:191-199.
12. Keller PE et al: *Rhythm in joint action: psychological and neurophysiological mechanisms for real-time interpersonal coordination. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 2014; 369:20130394.
13. Knoblich G & Repp BH: *Inferring agency from sound. Cognition* 2009; 111:248-262.
14. MacDonald RA et al: *An empirical investigation of the anxiolytic and pain reducing effects of music. Psychology of Music* 2003; 31:187-203.

15. Magee WL: Music as a diagnostic tool in low awareness states: Considering limbic responses. *Brain Injury* 2007; 21:593-599.
16. Magee WL and O'Kelly J: Music therapy with disorders of consciousness: current evidence and emergent evidence based practice. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 2015; 1337: 256-262.
17. Maratos A, Gold C, Wang X, & Crawford M: Music therapy for depression. *The Cochrane Library*, 2008.
18. McAngus Todd NP et al: A sensory-motor theory of rhythm, time perception and beat induction. *Journal of New Music Research* 1999; 28:5-28.
19. Miranda ER et al: Brain-computer music interfacing (BCMI) from basic research to the real world of special needs. *Music and Medicine* 2011; 3:134-140.
20. Moore KS: A systematic review on the neural effects of music on emotion regulation: implications for music therapy practice. *Journal of music therapy* 2013; 50:198-242.
21. Nettl B: An ethnomusicologist contemplates universals in musical sound and musical culture. In Wallin, N.L.; Marker, B. & Brown, S. *The Origins of Music*. MIT Press: USA, 2000; pp 463-472.
22. Overy K & Molnar-Szakacs I: Being together in time: Musical experience and the mirror neuron system. *Music Perception* 2009; 26:489-504.
23. Patel A: *Music, Language and the Brain*. EEUU: Oxford University Press, 2008.
24. Rice RR and Johnson SB: *A Collaborative Approach to Music Therapy Practice in Sensorimotor Rehabilitation*. *Music Therapy Perspectives* 2013; 31:58-66.
25. Sack O: *Musicophilia. Tales of Music and the Brain*. EEUU: Vintage Books, 2007.
26. Silverman MJ: Effects of Music Therapy on Drug Avoidance Self-Efficacy in Patients on a Detoxification Unit: A Three-Group Randomized Effectiveness Study. *Journal of Addictions Nursing* 2014; 25:172-181.
27. Solberg RT: Waiting for the Bass to Drop: Correlations between Intense Emotional Experiences and Production Techniques in Build-up and Drop Sections of Electronic Dance Music. *Dancecult: Journal of Electronic Dance Music Culture* 2014; 6:61-82.
28. Thaut MH and Hoemberg V: *Handbook of Neurologic Music Therapy*. Oxford University Press, 2014.
29. Tomaino CM: "Creativity and improvisation as therapeutic tools within music therapy." *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 2013; 1303:84-86.
30. Wallin NL, Marker B & Brown S: *The Origins of Music*. EEUU: MIT Press.
31. Weaver H: Syncopation: A study of musical rhythms. *The Journal of General Psychology* 1939; 20:409-429.
32. Wigram T et al: *Art & science of music therapy: A handbook*, Routledge, 2013.
33. Witek MAG et al: Syncopation, Body-Movement and Pleasure in Groove Music. *PLoS ONE* 2014; 9:e94446.
34. Zatorre RJ: There's more to auditory cortex than meet the ear. *Hearing Res.* 2007; 229:24-30.

Correspondence:

Lily Jimenez-Dabdoub  
Goldsmiths, University of London  
London, UK  
E-mail: lilyjimdab@gmail.com